

Homotopical methods in complex geometry

The surprising power of topology

Tim Hosgood
GSTGC 2021

✨ ✨ *whirlwind tour* ✨ ✨

Overview

(If things go to plan)

1. **Why topology might seem useless**
2. **Some counter-examples**
 - A. *Simplicial connections*
 - B. *Stein and Oka manifolds*
 - C. *Deligne cohomology*
 - D. *Twisting cochains, deformation theory, and analytic HAG*
3. **Yet another way to define vector bundles**

1. Why topology might seem useless

Being continuous is “easy”

- Every complex-manifold has an underlying topological space.
- Every holomorphic map is continuous (and even infinitely differentiable).
- Every holomorphic vector bundle has an underlying smooth vector bundle.
- ...
- In general, there is a forgetful functor from “holomorphic structure” to “topological structure”.

Being holomorphic is “hard”

- If a holomorphic map is constant on any open neighbourhood then it is globally constant.
- If a function is holomorphic on the whole of \mathbb{C} , then it is either constant, or such that $f(\mathbb{C})$ is either the whole of \mathbb{C} or $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}$.
- If a holomorphic function has an essential singularity, then, on any punctured neighbourhood of this singularity, the function attains all values in \mathbb{C} , with at most a single exception, infinitely often.
- ...

2. Some counter-examples

Simplicial connections

- Given a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$, a *connection* on E is a linear map $\nabla: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^*M \otimes E)$ satisfying the Leibniz rule: $\nabla(fs) = df \otimes s + f \nabla s$.
- **Fact.** Every smooth vector bundle admits a connection.
- **Fact.** Most holomorphic vector bundles do **not** admit a (global) connection.
- **Lemma.** If we replace X by the Čech nerve of X (a cofibrant replacement), and consider “simplicial connections”, then every holomorphic vector bundle admits a global “connection”.
- **Corollary.** We can use Chern–Weil theory to calculate Chern classes (i.e. characteristic classes).

CHERN CLASSES OF COHERENT
ANALYTIC SHEAVES

A SIMPLICIAL APPROACH

présentée par
Timothy Hosgood

pour obtenir le grade universitaire
de docteur de l'université d'Aix-Marseille

Model categories, and Stein and Oka manifolds

- A good holomorphic analogue to being contractible (or even being affine) is being *Stein*: holomorphically convex and holomorphically separable.
 - An equivalent definition: there are “lots” of holomorphic maps $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (enough to embed X as a closed complex sub-manifold of \mathbb{C})
- Dual to this is the notion of being *Oka*: having “lots” of holomorphic maps $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$.
- Formally, there is a model category which contains all complex manifolds, and with Stein manifolds being cofibrant and Oka manifolds fibrant (with so-called *Oka maps* being fibrations).
- The *h-principle* (or *Oka principle* in complex geometry): when solutions to an *analytic* problem exist in the absence of *topological* obstructions.

Franc Forstnerič

Stein Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings

The Homotopy Principle in Complex
Analysis



WHAT IS . . .

an Oka Manifold?

Finnur Lárusson

Deligne cohomology

HOLOMORPHIC GERBES AND
THE BEILINSON REGULATOR
by Jean-Luc BRYLINSKI

GEOMETRY OF DELIGNE COHOMOLOGY
PAWEL GAJER

- Very well understood in the smooth case, but much less so in the holomorphic case.
- The *Deligne complex* is given by the homotopy pullback of a truncated de Rham complex and \mathbb{Z} .
- More concretely, $\mathbb{Z}_D(p) = (2\pi i)^p \mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \Omega^0 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{p-1}$, which is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^\times \xrightarrow{d \log} \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{p-1}$.
- Sheaf cohomology of this complex classifies holomorphic connections on holomorphic line bundles (and, in higher degrees, holomorphic connective structures on holomorphic gerbes)

Twisting cochains and deformation theory

- Well-known correspondence:

locally free sheaves \longleftrightarrow vector bundles \longleftrightarrow principal GL_n -bundles

- Another well-known correspondence (in *algebraic* geometry (on a Noetherian affine scheme)):

l.f. sheaves \longleftrightarrow f.g. proj. modules

coherent sheaves \longleftrightarrow f.g. modules

quasi-coherent sheaves \longleftrightarrow modules

- Can we extend the first correspondence to the other two cases of the second correspondence? .. Probably (maybe).
- The Maurer–Cartan equation, simplicial twisting cochains, etc., plus analytic HAG/DAG.

3. Yet another way to define vector bundles

- Take a Lie group G , and consider the presheaf Y_G on the category Man of smooth manifolds given by the Yoneda embedding: $Y_G = C^\infty(-, G)$.
- We can endow this with the structure of a Lie group, thanks to the Lie group structure of G (i.e. “pointwise-ly”), which gives us a presheaf Y_G of Lie groups.
- We can deloop Y_G to obtain a presheaf $\mathbb{B}Y_G$ of one-object groupoids, i.e. for any smooth manifold M , we have the groupoid $\mathbb{B}Y_G(M)$ with one object $*$ and with automorphisms $\text{Hom}(*, *) \cong \text{Man}(M, G)$.
- We can take the nerve of this to obtain a presheaf $\mathcal{N}\mathbb{B}Y_G$ of simplicial sets.
- Abstractly, we’ve built a functor $\mathcal{N}\mathbb{B}Y: \text{LieGroup} \rightarrow [\text{Man}^{\text{op}}, \text{sSet}]$.
- We can pull this back along the (opposite of) the Čech nerve $\check{\mathcal{N}}: \text{Man}_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow [\Delta^{\text{op}}, \text{Man}]$ to get a functor $(\check{\mathcal{N}}^{\text{op}})^* \mathcal{N}\mathbb{B}Y: \text{LieGroup} \rightarrow [\text{Man}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{op}}, \text{csSet}]$.
- Finally, we can apply totalisation to this, and obtain $\text{Tot}((\check{\mathcal{N}}^{\text{op}})^* \mathcal{N}\mathbb{B}Y): \text{LieGroup} \rightarrow [\text{Man}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\text{op}}, \text{sSet}]$.

- **Lemma.** $\text{Tot}((\check{\mathcal{N}}^{\text{op}})^* \mathcal{N} \mathbb{B}Y_{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})})(X, \mathcal{U})$ classifies complex vector bundles on X in a precise way:
 - its π_0 consists of isomorphism classes of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -principal bundles on X ;
 - its π_1 based at some isomorphism class $[E]$ is the gauge group of E ;
 - all higher π_i are zero.